Application No.: 10/618,519 OA mailed: March 9, 2007 Reply dated: August 9, 2007

Remarks

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in reply to the Office Action mailed March

9, 2007. A Petition for Extension of Time is submitted herewith, together with the appropriate fee.

I. Summary of Examiner's Rejections

Prior to the Office Action mailed March 9, 2007, Claims 1-2, 4-8, 25-30, and 32-37 were

pending in the Application. In the Office Action, Claims 1-2, 4-8, 25-30, and 32-37 were rejected

under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Huben et al., (U.S. Patent No. 6,327,594,

hereafter Van Huben) in view of Sim et al., (U.S. Patent No. 6,857,012, hereafter Sim).

II. Summary of Applicant's Amendment

The present Response amends Claims 1 and 25, leaving for the Examiner's present

consideration Claims 1-2, 4-8, 25-30, and 32-37. Reconsideration of the Application, as amended,

is respectfully requested. Applicant respectfully reserves the right to prosecute any originally

presented or canceled claims in a continuing or future application.

III. Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

In the Office Action mailed March 9, 2007, Claims 1-2, 4-8, 25-30, and 32-37 were rejected

under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Huben et al., (U.S. Patent No. 6,327,594,

hereafter Van Huben) in view of Sim et al., (U.S. Patent No. 6,857,012, hereafter Sim).

Claim 1

Claim 1 has been amended by the present Response to more clearly define the

embodiment of the invention therein. As amended, Claim 1 defines:

1. (Currently Amended) A storage medium for storing data for access by an

application program being executed on a computer system, comprising:

a data structure stored in said storage medium, the data structure including or

referring to:

a name;

a content repository identifier;

a property;

a property definition;

-6-

Application No.: 10/618,519 OA mailed: March 9, 2007

Reply dated: August 9, 2007

a path; and

a reference to a parent data structure;

wherein the data structure is logically part of a virtual content repository (VCR);

wherein the VCR represents a plurality of content repositories logically as a single

content repository encompassing the plurality of content repositories from the

application program's standpoint;

wherein the path uniquely specifies the data structure's location in the VCR; and

wherein a content repository is a searchable data store.

Claim 1, as currently amended, defines a storage medium for storing data for access by an

application program being executed on a computer system, comprising a data structure that is

logically part of a virtual content repository that represents a plurality of content repositories

logically as a single content repository encompassing the plurality of content repositories from the

application program's standpoint. Furthermore, a content repository is defined as a searchable data

store. Applicant respectfully submits that these features are neither disclosed nor suggested by the

prior art.

Claim 1 has been amended to define that a content repository is a searchable data store.

It is respectfully submitted that this limitation is not disclosed in the cited references.

Claim 1 has also been further amended such that wherein the VCR represents a plurality

of content repositories logically as a single content repository encompassing the plurality of content

repositories from an application program's standpoint. It is respectfully submitted that this limitation

is also not disclosed by the cited references.

In the Office Action mailed March 9, 2007, it was submitted that Sim discloses a virtual

content repository that represents a plurality of content repositories logically as a single repository

because Sim discloses the use of a Scalable Content Delivery Network (SCDN), including (nodes)

organized into a virtual tree structure in which each node in the tree has a set of attributes. The

nodes also store the attributes of descendant nodes. Distribution servers within a Distribution

Server Cluster use the attributes to distribute and route the large files. One of the nodes is

designated the "Central Station" that holds an attribute database that acts as a reference table, and

may act as a data repository containing some or all of content.

However, it is respectfully submitted that the above description of Sim does not appear to

disclose a virtual content repository that represents a plurality of content repositories logically as

a single repository encompassing the plurality of content repositories, wherein a content repository

-7-

Application No.: 10/618,519 OA mailed: March 9, 2007

Reply dated: August 9, 2007

is a searchable data store. Instead, Sim appears to disclose a set of nodes that each contain an

incomplete portion of a whole file, but represents each incomplete portion as a whole file to an end

user. While Sim discloses nodes organized into an internal representation of a virtual tree structure,

the nodes are not represented logically as a single repository. Instead, a portion of a file, stored

in one of the stations, is represented as being a complete file.

Furthermore, although Sim discloses that a node designated the "Central Station" may act

as a data repository, only that single data repository is disclosed, and there does not appear to be

any mention of a plurality of content repositories represented logically as a single repository

encompassing a plurality of content repositories, wherein a content repository is a searchable data

store..

Van Huben discloses methods for shared data management in a pervasive computing

environment through the use of a centralized Data Management System (DMS) (col. 2, lines

42-47). The DMS architecture is based on a PFVL paradigm (col. 10, lines 25-27) that classifies

objects inside the DMS according to five basic attributes: PACKAGE, VARIANCE, LEVEL,

FILETYPE, and VERSION (col. 10, lines 39-56).

It is respectfully submitted that Van Huben does not appear to disclose a data structure

including or referring to a path that uniquely specifies the data structure's location in the VCR.

While the Office Action cites column 14, lines 9-30 of Van Huben as disclosing the above limitation,

the passage in Van Huben appears to merely describe the use of a File Reference number as a

unique file identifier to track data. However, the File Reference number does not appear to be a

path that specifies a data's location.

In view of the above comments, Applicant respectfully submits that Claim 1, as amended,

is neither anticipated by, nor obvious in view of the cited references, and reconsideration thereof

is respectfully requested.

Claim 25

The comments provided above with respect to Claim 1 are hereby incorporated by

reference. Claim 25 has been similarly amended to more clearly define the embodiment therein.

For similar reasons as provided above with respect to Claim 1, Applicant respectfully submits that

Claim 25, as amended, is also neither anticipated by, nor obvious in view of the cited references,

and reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested.

Claims 2, 4-8, 26-30, and 32-37

-8-

Application No.: 10/618,519 OA mailed: March 9, 2007

Reply dated: August 9, 2007

Dependent Claims 2, 4-8, 26-30, and 32-37 are not addressed separately, but it is

respectfully submitted that these claims are allowable as depending from an allowable independent

claim and further in view of the additional limitations of these claims. Applicant respectfully submits

that Claims 2, 4-8, 26-30, and 32-37 are similarly neither anticipated by, nor obvious in view of, the

cited references, and reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested. It is also respectfully

submitted that these claims also add their own limitations which render them patentable in their

own right. Applicant respectfully reserves the right to argue these limitations should it become

necessary in the future.

IV. Conclusion

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the claims

now pending in the subject patent application should be allowable, and reconsideration thereof is

respectfully requested. The Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if he

can assist in any way in expediting issuance of a patent.

Enclosed is a PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.136 for

extending the time to respond up to and including today.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment

to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this reply, including any fee for

extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 9, 2007

By: /Guanyao Cheng/

Guanyao Cheng

Reg. No. 58,555

Customer No. 23910

FLIESLER MEYER LLP

650 California Street, 14th Floor San Francisco, California 94108

Telephone: (415) 362-3800

-9-